

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 6, 2004 LB 227

safe. They also will allow, on occasion, people below the age of 18 to ride on other portions of the prohibited vehicle without being properly restrained. That bill, if it said only that, could be accepted as face...at face value as a statement of concern for young people. However, being a politician, and an unwise one at that, if I may say so, Senator Aguilar was prevailed upon to put in an exception which, in effect, says that the children outside the metropolitan areas are not as worthy of concern with relative...with relationship to their safety as other children. Senator Beutler is proposing an amendment which says that if any person below the age of 18 is working for somebody and that work requires the young person to be placed in these unsafe conditions, the protection that the law offers will be taken away and there is no liability on the driver of the vehicle for having a young person in that unsafe condition or set of circumstances. That puts me in total opposition to the bill and I'm going to fight it. Now, I promised Senator Aguilar that I would burn off...burn off the other day, but I still have an hour on that because at 11:00 we left the bill. I told him I had to get one more day, which is today, plus one hour. But maybe the body, in its wisdom, will see that this is not a good bill and one of the motions that I offer will be adopted. That brings us to Senator Quandahl's amendment. I'm discussing this to show that I have genuine concerns about this bill. I have read the bill, analyzed it, and I've read Senator Quandahl's amendment, and I listened carefully to his explanation. He would change the exemption by offering a mitigating element, and that element is to say that in this exception, or exemption, from the safety of the law for these young people, the vehicle is traveling 25 miles per hour or less. He selected the rate of speed, 25 miles per hour, because that is the speed limit within residential areas. I pay attention, Senator Quandahl, and you made a compelling argument. I decided that if a little safety is a good thing, more safety is a better thing. I believe Senator Quandahl's amendment makes sense, even though I don't like the bill and I'm going to try to get it defeated. We can only take these things one step at the time, and we have to deal with the bill in the form it presents itself to us. Right now, the exemption is there with no modification. Senator Quandahl's amendment gives me the opportunity to further refine his amendment in the same way that